

Warm turbulence in the Boltzmann equation

D. PROMENT^{1,2(a)}, S. NAZARENKO³, P. ASINARI⁴ and M. ONORATO^{1,2}

¹ *Dipartimento di Fisica Generale, Università degli Studi di Torino - Via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy, EU*

² *INFN, Sezione di Torino - Via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy, EU*

³ *Mathematics Institute, The University of Warwick - Coventry, CV4-7AL, UK, EU*

⁴ *Dipartimento di Energetica, Politecnico di Torino - Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy, EU*

received 11 May 2011; accepted in final form 2 September 2011

published online 6 October 2011

PACS 47.27.Gs – Isotropic turbulence; homogeneous turbulence

PACS 05.70.Ln – Nonequilibrium and irreversible thermodynamics

PACS 47.70.Nd – Nonequilibrium gas dynamics

Abstract – We study the single-particle distributions of three-dimensional hard-sphere gas described by the Boltzmann equation. We focus on the steady homogeneous isotropic solutions in thermodynamically open conditions, *i.e.* in the presence of forcing and dissipation. We observe a nonequilibrium steady-state solution characterized by a warm turbulence, that is an energy and particle cascade superimposed on the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. We use a dimensional analysis approach to relate the thermodynamic quantities of the steady state with the characteristics of the forcing and dissipation terms. In particular, we present an analytical prediction for the temperature of the system which we show to be dependent only on the forcing and dissipative scales. Numerical simulations of the Boltzmann equation support our analytical predictions.

Copyright © EPLA, 2011

Three-dimensional fluid turbulence is characterized by an energy cascade process whose concept was introduced by Richardson in 1922 and developed by Kolmogorov in 1941 [1,2]. The idea is that if one injects energy at some scale, creating eddies, then those eddies may interact and create smaller and smaller eddies up to the dissipation scale. The result is the famous power-law Kolmogorov spectrum which corresponds to a constant flux of energy from large to small scales. Conventional approach to analyzing turbulent flows relies on Navier-Stokes equations, which are mathematically complex, having resisted most attempts at a solution for more than a century. Recently a different approach has been proposed based on an extended Boltzmann kinetic equation [3] for turbulent flows. The main idea is that the turbulent eddy interactions leading to the Kolmogorov energy cascade can be described, analogously to particle collisions, by statistical ensembles ruled by a turbulent kinetic equation.

On the other hand, in 1965 [4], Zakharov found that dispersive weakly nonlinear wave systems, *e.g.* surface gravity waves, may exhibit a very similar phenomenology as fluid turbulence, *i.e.* a constant flux of energy towards small scales, a *direct cascade*. Besides ocean waves [5],

these cascades have been studied in a large number of weakly nonlinear systems such as internal waves [6], nonlinear optics [7], Bose-Einstein condensation [8–10], magnetohydrodynamics [11]. Such regime, valid for weak nonlinearity, is now known as *weak wave turbulence* and the power-law flux carrying states are called Kolmogorov-Zakharov (KZ) spectra. The extraordinary fact is that the KZ solutions corresponding to the turbulent cascades are found to be exact analytical solutions of a *wave kinetic equation* which describes the evolution of the wave spectrum. The wave kinetic equation has a structure that resembles the classical Boltzmann equation: the evolution of the distribution is driven by a collision integral which conserves mass, momentum and energy.

Keeping in mind such an analogy, our aim is to address in the present letter the following fundamental questions. Let us consider a gas whose single-particle distribution evolution is described by the Boltzmann equation and suppose that we inject in the system particles with a specific energy and remove those that reach an energy larger/smaller than a preselected threshold. How does the distribution function change with respect to the thermodynamic equilibrium solution? How the temperature and chemical potential will be affected by the presence of forcing and dissipation?

^(a)E-mail: davideproment@gmail.com

We mention here that, from a physical point of view, the role of forcing can be played by externally produced beams, such as neutral beam injection in tokamak plasmas, or particles with a particular range of energy produced by a chemical or a nuclear reaction. Dissipation could be represented by a loss of particles via, again, a chemical or a nuclear reaction or simply via a loss of particles in the system when their energies are higher than a potential barrier.

In order to answer to the aforementioned questions we will first consider numerical simulations of the Boltzmann equation in the homogeneous and isotropic case and then present an argument based on dimensional analysis that allows us to explain the numerical results and make some predictions on the steady nonequilibrium properties of the system. Our starting point is the homogeneous, forced and damped Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{\partial n_1}{\partial t} = I_{\text{coll}} + F - D, \quad \text{with} \quad (1)$$

$$I_{\text{coll}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_{12}^{1'2'} [n_1' n_2' - n_1 n_2] d\mathbf{v}_2 d\mathbf{v}_1' d\mathbf{v}_2',$$

where $n_i \equiv n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_i, t)$ is the single-particle distribution function and primes denote particles after the collision. We have included a source term F and a sink D which will be specified once the numerics are discussed. As we consider elastic collisions, the general way to express W is

$$W_{12}^{1'2'} = \sigma \delta(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1' - \mathbf{v}_2') \delta(E_1 + E_2 - E_1' - E_2'), \quad (2)$$

with $E_i = |\mathbf{v}_i|^2/2$ being the kinetic energy per unit mass. The δ -functions assure conservation of the total momentum and the total kinetic energy. In this letter, we consider the three-dimensional hard-sphere gas (σ is independent of \mathbf{v}) in isotropic conditions.

In the absence of forcing and dissipation any initial condition will relax to the Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) distribution

$$n_{\text{MB}}(E) = n_0 e^{-\frac{E+\mu}{T}} = A e^{-\frac{E}{T}}, \quad (3)$$

where $A \equiv n_0 e^{-\frac{\mu}{T}}$ with μ and T the chemical potential and temperature of the system, respectively. This equipartition mechanism, consequence of the H-theorem, has been checked as a benchmark of our numerical code. In order to consider an open system we have then included forcing and dissipation written in E -space. The forcing term is constant in time and has the role of injecting particles with energies narrowly concentrated around some value E_f , *i.e.* $F(E) = F$ if $|E - E_f| < \delta_f$ and zero otherwise, where F is a positive constant. The dissipation term is implemented as a filter which removes, at each iteration time, energy and particles outside of the domain $(E_{\text{min}}, E_{\text{max}})$, *i.e.* $D(E) = 0$ if $E \in (E_{\text{min}}, E_{\text{max}})$ and $D(E) = -\infty$ otherwise.

In such conditions, a dimensional analysis shows that (1) may have also solutions characterized by a constant flux of

energy and mass which corresponds to the KZ solutions. Indeed, the particle flux η and the energy flux ϵ can be estimated as

$$\eta = 2\pi \int_0^E \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} E^{1/2} dE \sim n^2 E^{7/2},$$

$$\epsilon = 2\pi \int_0^E \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} E^{3/2} dE \sim n^2 E^{9/2}. \quad (4)$$

Assuming that one of the fluxes is constant trough energy scales, we can immediately derive the KZ solutions $n_\eta \sim E^{-7/4}$ and $n_\epsilon \sim E^{-9/4}$ [12].

The results presented in this letter are found using a numerical algorithm which has been previously described in [13]; we will give in the following a brief summary. In the isotropic assumption the collision integral in (1) is written in spherical coordinates and integrated analytically over the solid angles (note that the δ -function containing the energy sum is independent of the angles). A change of variable from the modulus of the velocity to the energy is then performed. The energy space is uniformly discretized and the collision integral is computed over a discretized resonant manifold, that is a point grid lattice, where the δ -condition is satisfied. The collision integral evaluation is the most difficult and time-consuming part of the algorithm because the time evolution of the homogeneous Boltzmann equation is then computed with a forward Euler method choosing a time step sufficiently small to assure the convergence. We underline that the integral evaluation uses a “deterministic” method [14,15], because all the possible combinations of the distribution having different energies and satisfying the δ -condition are considered and it differs from the Monte Carlo methods where test particles are used. Here, the only numerical error is due to the resolution of the lattice which should be as wide as possible to define with sufficient points the resonant manifold.

In our simulations the computational domain is discretized in 501 points having $\Delta E = 1$ and the initial distribution is $n(E, t=0) = 0$. We first consider the case characterized by $E_{\text{min}} = 5$, $E_{\text{max}} = 250$ and the forcing located at energies between 35 and 37. Numerical results for the final steady states evaluated for three different forcing amplitudes, $F = 10^{-4}$, 10^{-5} , 10^{-6} , are presented in fig. 1. Independently of the forcing, a stationary distribution is reached in the simulations, implying the existence of a flux from the forcing region to the boundaries of the domain. The steady solutions of our simulation do not appear to be very far from the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution (3), which corresponds to a straight line in a lin-log coordinates. It is clear from the plot that the only consequence of increasing the forcing rate is to shift upwards the curves, leaving unchanged the slopes. This is the first indication that the temperature of the system remains constant, independently of the forcing. We can also compute, as a function of time, the average energy per particle ρ_E/ρ_M , with $\rho_M = 2\pi \int n(E) E^{1/2} dE$




Fig. 1: Final steady states of the Boltzmann equation (1) obtained for different values of the forcing amplitude F . Axes are in lin-log coordinates. $E_{\min} = 5$, $E_{\max} = 250$ and $E_f = 35-37$.




Fig. 2: Time evolution of the average energy per particle for different forcing amplitudes. Axes are in log-lin coordinates. $E_{\min} = 5$, $E_{\max} = 250$ and $E_f = 35-37$.

and $\rho_E = 2\pi \int n(E) E^{3/2} dE$. As shown in fig. 2, for large times this quantity reaches a unique value for the three simulations considered. We recall that for a pure MB distribution such ratio is proportional to $3/2$ of the temperature of the system.

We have not observed KZ solutions in our simulations. The reason is that the interactions are non-local in scales, as already pointed out in [16]: the collision integral does not converge for such solutions. Moreover, the energy and particle flux directions, [17], associated to such solutions, are opposite to the ones predicted by the Fjørtoft argument which imposes that the energy should have a direct cascade, *i.e.* from low to high energies, while particle an inverse one [13]. It is important to underline that for other interaction models, such as for example particles behaving via Coulomb potential, the locality is satisfied in the energy cascade [16]. However, even if one cascade is local, the fluxes are always in the wrong directions with respect to prediction of the Fjørtoft argument. This important result on the direction of the fluxes, [17], is

independent of the dimension d of the system, that is for $d \geq 2$ (for $d = 1$ the two-body elastic collisions described in the Boltzmann integral give no contribution since the conservation of linear momentum and energy would make outgoing velocities equal to incoming ones).

As shown in fig. 1, we observe distributions not far from the thermodynamic equilibrium (3) solution. However, we are in a forced and dissipated situation and let us assume the existence of a small but finite flux correction “living on top” of the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. This behavior, named *warm cascade*, has already been observed in other physical systems [7,18] and is characterized by constant flux cascades perturbing the thermodynamic equilibrium distribution. Mathematically, we assume that

$$n = n_{\text{MB}} (1 + \tilde{n}), \quad (5)$$

with \tilde{n} the deviations with respect to the MB distribution which are responsible for the fluxes; note that not necessarily \tilde{n} is small with respect to one. If we plug such *ansatz* in the equations for the fluxes (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= c_1 n_{\text{MB}}^2 \tilde{n} (2 + \tilde{n}) E^{7/2} \\ \epsilon &= c_2 n_{\text{MB}}^2 \tilde{n} (2 + \tilde{n}) E^{9/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are two constants which cannot be determined through dimensional analysis. We underline that the term n_{MB}^2 coming from the square of (5) does not give any contribution because it is not responsible for any net flux. This is due to the fact that the exact collision integrand (considered without any dimensional analysis) is identically zero when it is evaluated with the MB equilibrium distribution and therefore the particle and energy fluxes are zero.

Our aim is to relate the macroscopic properties of the system with the forcing and dissipation rates. From our numerical computation we observe that, as we get closer to the cut-off scales (E_{\min} and E_{\max}), deviations from a pure MB distribution becomes more relevant. Consequently, \tilde{n} becomes of the order one for $E = E_{\min}$ or $E = E_{\max}$ and from (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= c_1 A^2 e^{-\frac{2E_{\min}}{T}} E_{\min}^{7/2}, \\ \epsilon &= c_2 A^2 e^{-\frac{2E_{\max}}{T}} E_{\max}^{9/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where we have redefined the constant c_1 and c_2 . We now verify the above relations through the direct computation of the Boltzmann equation. In fig. 3 we show the dependence of A on the fluxes for three simulations previously described (fig. 1). Supposing to use as temperature of the system the simple relation coming from the MB distribution $T = 2\rho_E/3\rho_M$, we can observe in fig. 3 (and its inset) that A scales as the square root of the incoming fluxes for fixed dissipative scales E_{\min} and E_{\max} . From the numerics we can estimate, by a fit, the constants c_1 and c_2 whose values are reported in the figure. The values of




Fig. 3: A as a function of the fluxes. Empty circles (and squares in the inset) correspond to the numerical simulation results with $\Delta E = 1$. The continuous and dashed lines are the predictions (7) where the temperature, $T = 2\rho_E/3\rho_M$, has been defined using the pure MB distribution. The values of the constant c_1 and c_2 , shown in the plot, are found by a fit. For completeness, numerical results obtained with a different numerical resolution, $\Delta E = 2$, are also reported with filled circles and squares in the main figure and in the inset, respectively.

these constants have been found to depend weakly on the numerical resolution used. For example, the corresponding numerical results obtained with a half-resolution ($\Delta E = 2$) are shown in fig. 3 and its inset with filled points.

We are now able to predict the dependence of the temperature on the forcing and the dissipative scales. Assuming that they are widely separated, that is $E_{\min} \ll E_f \ll E_{\max}$, we have $\epsilon = \eta E_f$. Then, from eqs. (7) we get

$$T = \frac{2(E_{\max} - E_{\min})}{\frac{9}{2} \ln E_{\max} - \frac{7}{2} \ln E_{\min} - \ln E_f + c_3} \quad (8)$$

with $c_3 = \ln(c_2/c_1)$. The temperature of the system does not depend on the incoming fluxes but only on the forcing and dissipative scales; this is consistent with results of the numerical simulations presented in figs. 1 and 2.

We can check the validity of prediction (8) by considering different simulations of the isotropic Boltzmann equation (1) changing the forcing and dissipative scales. Before entering in the details, we emphasize that our predictions are based on a dimensional argument and c_1 and c_2 , which define c_3 , can only be measured *via* numerical computations. In our simulations we have observed that, as E_{\min} , E_f and E_{\max} changes, c_1 and c_2 assumes different values. This may be related to the fact that we are considering the hypothesis that the particle flux is all dissipated at low-energy scales and all energy flux at high ones, that is $E_{\min} \ll E_f \ll E_{\max}$. This is not always verified in the numerical simulations due to finiteness of the computational domain. In our numerical simulations we have measured an upper and lower bounds for our constants leading to $-4.21 \leq c_3 \leq -1.05$.




Fig. 4: Temperature as a function of the low-energy cut-off E_{\min} , keeping fixed $F = 10^{-3}$, $E_f = 36$, $\delta_f = 1$ and $E_{\max} = 250$. Circles represent the results from numerical computations. The continuous and dashed lines are the prediction (8) for the lower and upper bounds of c_3 determined in the numerics.

The aforementioned interval includes the analytical prediction $c_3 = 2 \ln(2/9) \simeq -3.01$ obtained in the limit $E_{\min} \ll T \ll E_{\max}$ which we have presented in [13]. There, we have used the *diffusion approximation model* technique to rewrite the collision integral operator into a diffusive operator, under the assumption that only velocities with similar amplitude interact, that is $v_1 \simeq v_2 \simeq v'_1 \simeq v'_2$ in (1). With this great simplification the integration of the Boltzmann homogenous isotropic equation becomes numerically feasible over a large set (decades) of energies E . The warm cascade behavior has been observed in this model for constant particle and energy fluxes.

In fig. 4 we show the comparison between the estimation of the temperature from (8) and the numerical simulation varying the low-energy dissipation scale E_{\min} . The incoming flux, the forcing and the high-energy dissipative scales have been kept fixed to the respective values of $F = 10^{-3}$, $E_f = 36$, $\delta_f = 1$, $E_{\max} = 250$. The dashed and the solid line in the figure are the predictions obtained with $c_3 = -1.05$ and $c_3 = -4.21$, respectively. The agreement between the temperature prediction and the computation is satisfactory.

In fig. 5 we present the behavior of the temperature as a function of the high-energy cut-off scale E_{\max} , with $F = 10^{-3}$, $E_{\min} = 5$ and $E_f = 36$, $\delta_f = 1$. The comparison between our estimation (8) and the numerics is very good.

In the present letter we have investigated the stationary states of a three-dimensional hard sphere gas whose single-particle distribution function is modeled by the homogeneous isotropic Boltzmann equation. In particular, we were interested in the steady nonequilibrium states in an open-system condition, *i.e.* in the presence of a forcing and dissipation mechanisms. Using the language of wave turbulence theory we have assumed the presence of a *warm cascades* as steady distributions. These solutions are characterized by constant particle and energy fluxes superimposed on the thermodynamic Maxwell-Boltzmann




Fig. 5: Temperature as a function of the high-energy cut-off E_{\max} , keeping fixed $F = 10^{-3}$, $E_{\min} = 5$ and $E_f = 36$, $\delta_f = 1$. Circles represent the results from numerical computations. The continuous and dashed lines are the prediction (8) for the lower and upper bounds of c_3 determined in the numerics.

distribution. Our assumption has allowed us to relate the thermodynamic quantities of the system to the characteristics of the forcing and dissipative terms of the equation.

In particular, we have been able to give an analytical relation for the temperature reached in the system as a function of the forcing and dissipative scales. One of the main results is that the temperature is independent of how much energy and particles we inject in the system but depends only on the cut-off scales and on the forcing scale. By a direct numerical integration of the Boltzmann equation we have shown that our numerical results are consistent with the aforementioned prediction.

We have considered the three-dimensional hard-sphere gas as our prototype model. This is the simplest model because the collision cross-section σ is independent of the incoming and outgoing velocities \mathbf{v}_i . However, our approach can be also used to study a gas characterized by a different interaction potential. In such case the degree of homogeneity of the kernel in the collision integral would be different and the KZ solutions would be characterized by different exponents. Locality and direction of the fluxes should be checked for each interaction potential.

We believe that the approach used in this letter may open some perspectives towards understanding non-equilibrium steady states in other physical systems via analogies to cases widely studied by the wave turbulence theory.

The authors acknowledge G. BOFFETTA, M. CENCINI, C. CONNAUGHTON, F. DE LILLO, S. MUSACCHIO, and L. RONDONI for discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] KOLMOGOROV A., *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **30** (1941) 299.
- [2] FRISCH U., *Turbulence: The Legacy of AN Kolmogorov* (Cambridge University Press) 1995.
- [3] CHEN H., KANDASAMY S., ORSZAG S., SHOCK R., SUCCI S. and YAKHOT V., *Science*, **301** (2003) 633, <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/301/5633/633.abstract>.
- [4] ZAKHAROV V. E., *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.*, **6** (1965) 22, 10.1007/BF01565814, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01565814>.
- [5] ZAKHAROV V. and FILONENKO N., *Sov. Phys. Dokl.*, **11** (1967) 881.
- [6] LVOV Y., POLZIN K. and TABAK E., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **92** (2004) 128501.
- [7] DYACHENKO S., NEWELL A. C., PUSHKAREV A. and ZAKHAROV V. E., *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenom.*, **57** (1992) 96, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6TVK-46JH21H-4G/2/b9bf3a47086f6f154a8c0478ca64c07b>.
- [8] SEMIKOZ D. V. and TKACHEV I. I., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **74** (1995) 3093.
- [9] NAZARENKO S. and ONORATO M., *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenom.*, **219** (2006) 1.
- [10] PROMENT D., NAZARENKO S. and ONORATO M., *Phys. Rev. A*, **80** (2009) 051603, <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRA/v80/e051603>.
- [11] GALTIER S., NAZARENKO S., NEWELL A. and POUQUET A., *J. Plasma Phys.*, **63** (2000) 447.
- [12] KATS A. and KONTOROVICH V., *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **37** (1973) 80.
- [13] PROMENT D., ONORATO M., ASINARI P. and NAZARENKO S., arXiv:1101.4137 (2011).
- [14] ASINARI P., *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **181** (2010) 1776, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465510002420>.
- [15] ARISTOV V., *Direct Methods for Solving the Boltzmann Equation and Study of Nonequilibrium Flows*, Vol. **60** (Springer, Netherlands) 2001.
- [16] KATS A., KONTOROVICH V., MOISEEV S. and NOVIKOV V., *Zh. Eksp. Teor., Pis'ma Red.*, **21** (1975) 13.
- [17] KATS A., *Sov. JETP*, **44** (1976) 1106.
- [18] CONNAUGHTON C. and NAZARENKO S., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **92** (2004) 044501.